College Hill Mass Aug 24th

Mr. H. L. Pope

Dear Sir:

Since my return from N.J. I made an attempt to get a photograph of that instrument used by Rev. Bell at the Cambridge Obs. at the time that he made his discovery. Mr. Rodgers was unwilling to permit me to make a picture of it, but I persuaded him to let me see it once more, for he declared that he should carry it to Williams that very day. I measured it with my eye and as soon as I got when I could I made a drawing.
which is substantially correct.
I send him with a copy of it for your inspection.

Rodgers says that the next time that Bell experimented there he used an entire piece of iron to stiffen for a diaphragm.

From my talk with Rodgers I have come to the conclusion that his knowledge of the instrument (the telephone) is very defective, thus, the armature of the above instrument is gone nothing of it upon the membrane of gold beating skin, yet Rodgers asserts that Bell didn’t use any which is equivalent to saying that gold beating skin will not inductively upon a magnet.

If therefore in his testimony
he should apparently lean towards Bell and undertake to say specifically what Bell used and how he got his results, it will be very easy to throw him out of court. Again, I have heard that Bell had a permanent magnet constructed for him sometime in July (very likely it was tuning fork magnetized) which he thinks of bringing in as testimony. Then will of course be short work made of such stuff. He can't go back of his observatory experiment, for if he had ever thought of trying the experiment before he could certainly have had a permanent magnet made and not have relied upon residual magnetism for the test of an invention of substance.

Please to keep me informed about my patent interests. Do one else than you will write a word
about them to me.

Yours truly,

A. E. Dallmeier