

PG little society - what can we do for our members
what meetings, what papers

TC Group of smart expert people get together
interchange ideas - set of sessions
for benefit of members.

Possibly

Since '57	PGs membership total		IRE members
		67,000	1957 67,000
		92,000	1962 96,000
	1402, IRE TC (stds) —		
	7000 AIEE TC, SCs —		

Have lot to offer each other
Automatic Control
Aero space
possibly Insulated Cond.

AC M starting to have PG of specialists

(At one time PG discussed consolidation one into other - now starting again.)

fun money - what do we do?
Nancy Minns - wants loose federation rather than Div?
"Humbly no force"

Letter signed by 2 Pres to technical people

TC - technically elite group.
Talents be preserved

"Workshop" technique all expert
get together - closed - but
develop where new people come to
all members

New PTC should be responsible for development of technology
Arnold Cohen Computer PG developing TC of own

Both appreciated value of what other has
if been no merger IRE PGs would finally have TC structure
and AIEE have whole batch ITGs

Other both concerned
Some differences - review procedure - standards -

Control PG in long run.

Put in most likely use as examples, case ones

- = Set the few work of out to show can be done -
- = No pressure to do merger
- = Point out that 2 or 3 are getting together
computers and controls
in process of
provide for intermediate step of for merger
- sort of engagement
- = Interpret (a) mean "consume" "become part of"
- = TOC has to fill gaps - be responsible for excellence of it's.

One-Line Organization
 Jim Mulligan - wants few levels as possible FTG to Bd.
 Computers
 TC and FTGs in same Div. (same as interim association
 TC - Electronic Tubes
 PG - Electron Tubes)
 Components (TC Electronic Transp. PG Component Parts)

Memo - willing to discuss

1) Create some models

One line organization has merit and should
 be considered carefully by new Board.

Oliver thinks should proceed carefully on division
 - wait until see what consolidation occur
 and then

Basic point is whether single or ~~two~~ parallel organization

- > minimum number of levels
- > Best to consolidate at lowest possible level

Arranging program

outside ^{scopes} recommendations
 when favors single line as principle

Policy

Responsibilities

Administrative simple as possible
 Hdq staff admin of policy - get people together

Ordinary member doesn't care too much
 what detail organization is